Reply to the Official Action of September 20, 2010

REMARKS/ARGUMENT

Claims 1-22 are active.

The claims have been amended to further define the substitutent G in formula (I) as

suggested by the Examiner at page 5 of the Action, the basis for the sulfonyl groups being

found on page 21, line 28 to page 22, line 4 of the originally filed specification.

No new matter is added.

The Examiner newly rejects Claims 1, 4, 11 and 21 under 35 USC 102(e) in view of

the previously cited Hasegawa publication and specifically Example 66 where A is a 5,8

membered heterocycle (inclusive of a dihydro furanyl) and G is an unsubstituted C1-6 alkyl

aryl (inclusive of a methyl-phenyl). However, Applicants have amended the claims to

remove the option of the substituent being a C1-6 alkyl aryl. Therefore, the rejection is no

longer applicable.

It is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn, consider and search the

non-elected species, rejoin the non-elected subject matter and that the Office issue a Notice of

Allowance indicating all pending claims are allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIEK & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Daniel J. Pereira

Registration No. 45,518

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/07)

15